Spectroscopic Properties and Crystal Structure of Tricarbonylcobalta-2,5diphenyl-3,4-dimethylcyclopenta-2,4-dienetricarbonylmanganese,  $[CoMn(CO)<sub>6</sub>$ - $(Ph_2C_4Me_2)$ 

FREDERICK W. B. EINSTEIN, P. MANNING, L. K. PETERSON and K. G. TYERS

*Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C., VSA I S6, Canada* 

Received August 19,1985

In an earlier paper [l] we reported the syntheses of the tetrahedrane products  $[Comn(CO)<sub>7</sub>(RC<sub>2</sub>R<sup>1</sup>)]$ via facile thermal reactions of internal alkynes with  $[CoMn(CO)<sub>9</sub>]$ . We now report that the deep red compound  $[Comn(CO)_{6}(Ph_{2}C_{4}Me_{2})]$  (I), which has a 'ferrole' structure [2], is formed via the reaction, in refluxing hexane, of  $[Comn(CO)_9]$  or  $[Comn(CO)_7$ - $(PhC<sub>2</sub>Me)$  with the appropriate molar ratio of methylphenylacetylene.

Ferrole complexes are relatively common products of reactions of iron carbonyls with alkynes [3]. A few analogous complexes, containing osmium [4,5], rhodium  $[6]$ , iridium  $[7, 8]$  and rhenium  $[9]$  in place of iron are known. Only two iron/nickel [10] and cobalt/tungsten [ll] mixed metal ferrole systems have been structurally characterized. The spectroscopic identification of  $[FeRu(CO)_6(Ph_4C_4)]$ precission internation of  $\mu$  energy  $\frac{1}{2}$ .  $\mathbb{R}$ . CALE 2). Our new compound  $\mathbb{R}$  comm $\mathbb{C}$  $(Ph_2C_4Me_2)$ ] (I) is isoelectronic with analogous di-<br>iron ferroles, but it shows nevertheless significant structural differences which are described below.

Compound I was purified by column chromatography on silica followed by recrystallization from a chloroform/hexane solvent mixture. The mass spectrum of I showed a weak signal for the parent ion ( $P_c$  for CoMnC<sub>24</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>6</sub> = 514.3;  $P_o$  = 514), and the sequential loss of six carbonyl groups. The base peak corresponded to  $[Comn(Ph_2C_4Me_2)]^T$ . The infrared spectrum ( $v_{CO}$  region) consisted of six medium to strong bands in the region for terminally bound CO, at 2088, 2046, 2027, 2003, 1947 and  $1933$  cm<sup>-1</sup>

The  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of compound I consisted of two resonances, at  $\delta = 225.4$  and 198 ppm, attributable to the carbonyl groups of the  $Co(CO)_{3}$ moiety; a single resonance at  $\delta = 181$  ppm due to the equivalent carbonyls of the  $\pi$ -bonded Mn(CO)<sub>3</sub> group; two singlets for the C(7), C(10) (at  $\delta = 148.3$ ) and the C(8), C(9) (at  $\delta$  = 120.4) pairs of ring carbon atoms; and resonances due to the phenyl and methyl substituents (see Figs. 1, (a) and (b) for structure and numbering scheme). The above assignments for  $[CoMn(CO)<sub>6</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>)]$  are consistent with reported analyses of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of several related  $[Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>(R<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>R<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>)]$  derivatives  $[13-15]$ .

A single crystal of compound I was grown from a chloroform/hexane solution. Crystal data:  $CoMnC_{24}$ - $H_{16}O_6$ ,  $M = 514.3$ , monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ ,  $a = 16.308(3), b = 8.875(2), c = 15.574(4)$  Å,  $\beta =$  $99.500(3)$ ,  $D = 0.575(2)$ ,  $C = 13.574(4)$  A,  $P = 12.44(2)^{9}$ ,  $Z = 4$ ,  $V = 2250$ ,  $8^{3}$ ,  $D = 1.516$ ,  $D =$  $1.5.77(2)$ ,  $2 - 7$ ,  $r - 22.50$  A,  $D_0$  = 1.510,  $D_0$  = 1.510,  $D_0$  = 1.510,  $D_0$  = 1.510,  $P_0$  *R,* values were 0.0334 and 0.0428 for 2373 observ- $R_w$  values were 0.0334 and 0.0428 for 2373 observed reflections  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$  of 3939 independent reflections measured on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4F diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo  $K\alpha$ radiation.

The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz, polarization and absorption effects. The positions of the metal atoms were located from a Patterson map, and the non-hydrogen atoms were located from a series of difference Fourier synthesis interspersed with least-squares refinement cycles. Scattering



Fig. 1. Structure and atom numbering scheme for  $[Conn(CO)<sub>6</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>)]$  (I).

factors and anomalous dispersion corrections were obtained from the literature [16]. Computer programs were run on a VAX 11/750 [17].

The final atomic coordinates for the non-hydrogen atoms of  $[Comn(CO)<sub>6</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>)]$  are given in Table I. Thermal parameters, the calculated hydrogen atom coordinates, and a list of structure factors have been deposited with the Editor-in-Chief and are also available from the authors.

ORTEP diagrams of compound 1 are shown in Figs. 1, (a) and (b). The bond parameters are given in Table II. The structure consists of a substituted cobaltacyclopentadienyl ring which is bound to the  $Mn(CO)$ <sub>3</sub> fragment by a metal-metal bond and by 4-electron  $\pi$  bonding. The methylphenylacetylene precursors of the cyclopentadienyl ring are linked in a head to head fashion, and the  $C(7)$  and  $C(10)$  carbon atoms which bear phenyl substituents are  $\sigma$ -bonded to the  $Co(CO)$ <sub>3</sub> moiety. An 18-electron count is established for both metal centres. The Co-Mn bond length in compound I  $(2.5488(8)$  Å) is shorter than the sum

TABLE I. Atom Coordinates  $(X10<sup>4</sup>)$  for CoMn(CO)<sub>6</sub>(MeC<sub>2</sub>- $Ph)_{2}$ 

Atom	x	у	z
Co	1851.5(3)	5311.1(5)	4384.2(3)
Mn	2933.1(3)	7311.8(6)	4765.0(3)
C(1)	863(2)	6219(4)	4145(2)
O(1)	254(2)	6786(4)	3993(2)
C(2)	1610(2)	3573(4)	4904(3)
O(2)	1496(2)	2480(3)	5252(2)
C(3)	1878(2)	4736(5)	3259(3)
O(3)	1846(2)	4371(5)	2566(2)
C(4)	3329(3)	8803(4)	5438(2)
O(4)	3583(3)	9746(4)	5887(2)
C(5)	3779(2)	7555(4)	4090(2)
O(5)	4335(2)	7731(3)	3682(2)
C(6)	2294(2)	8579(4)	4122(2)
O(6)	1895(2)	9393(4)	3708(2)
C(7)	1992(2)	6432(4)	5480(2)
C(8)	2727(2)	6101(4)	5954(2)
C(81)	2913(2)	6595(4)	6875(2)
C(9)	3318(2)	5315(4)	5485(2)
C(91)	4157(2)	4944(4)	5882(2)
C(10)	3041(2)	4970(4)	4625(2)
C(11)	3518(2)	3946(4)	4089(2)
C(12)	3707(2)	4281(4)	3251(2)
C(13)	4155(2)	3294(5)	2775(2)
C(14)	4422(3)	1948(5)	3133(3)
C(15)	4237(3)	1578(4)	3953(3)
C(16)	3782(3)	2571(4)	4430(2)
C(21)	1279(2)	7111(4)	5910(2)
C(22)	883(2)	6257(4)	6504(2)
C(23)	209(2)	6827(5)	6900(2)
C(24)	$-79(2)$	8232(5)	6699(3)
C(25)	302(3)	9093(5)	6119(3)
C(26)	984(2)	8544(4)	5271(2)

TABLE II. Bond Distances (A) and Angles (deg) for CoMn-  $(CO)<sub>6</sub>$  (MeC<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>

Co–Mn	2.5488(8)
$Co-C(1)$	1.823(4)
$Co-C(2)$	1.797(4)
$Co-C(3)$	1.829(4)
$Co-C(7)$	1.977(3)
$Co-C(10)$	1.977(3)
$Mn-C(4)$	1.785(4)
$Mn-C(5)$	1.798(4)
$Mn-C(6)$	1.798(4)
$Mn-C(7)$	2.100(3)
$Mn-C(8)$	2.185(3)
$Mn-C(9)$	2.170(3)
$Mn-C(10)$	2.098(3)
$C(7)-C(21)$	1.504(5)
$C(7)-C(8)$	1,401(5)
$C(8)-C(81)$	1.513(5)
$C(8)-C(9)$	1.426(5)
$C(9)-C(10)$	1.423(5)
$C(9)-C(91)$	1,506(5)
$C(10)-C(11)$	1.486(5)
$C(1)-O(1)$	1.127(5)
$C(2)-O(2)$	1.132(5)
$C(3)-O(3)$	1.124(5)
$C(4)-O(4)$	1.52(5)
$C(5)-O(5)$	1.149(5)
$C(6)-O(6)$	1.145(5)
$Co-C(1)-O(1)$	179.5(4)
$Co-C(2)-O(2)$	176.6(4)
$Co-C(3)-O(3)$	176.0(4)
$Mn-C(4)-O(4)$	178.6(4)
$Mn-C(5)-O(5)$	177.7(4)
$Mn-C(6)-O(6)$	179.2(4)
$Co-C(7)-C(8)$	113.7(2)
$Co-C(7)-C(21)$	122.2(2)
$Co-C(10)-C(9)$	113.5(2)
$Co-C(10)-C(11)$	121.9(2)
$Mn - C(7) - C(8)$	74.2(2)
$Mn-C(7)-C(21)$	134.2(2)
$Mn - C(10) - C(9)$	73.3(2)
$Mn-C(10)-C(11)$	135.6(2)
$C(8)-C(7)-C(21)$	120.6(3)
$C(81) - C(8) - C(7)$	123.7(3)
$C(81) - C(8) - C(9)$	121.6(3)
$C(91)-C(9)-C(8)$	121.5(3)
$C(91) - C(9) - C(10)$	124.8(3)
$C(9)-C(10)-C(11)$	120.9(3)

 $f$  the covalent radii (2.65  $\frac{1}{2}$  [18]) and is similar to similar to the isomorphism.  $\mu$  the covalent radii (2.00 A [10]) and is similar to the value of 2.561(1) Å found in  $[(\text{MeCp})\text{MnCo}_2-(\text{Me}_5\text{C}_5)_2(\text{CO})_4]$  [19]. A shorter metal-metal dis- $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  is observed in the case of  $2.505(1)$ ,  $\frac{8}{2}$  is observed in the case of  $2.505(1)$ ,  $\frac{8}{2}$  is observed in the case of  $2.505(1)$ ance of  $2.565(1)$  A is observed if ferrole  $[Fe_2(CO)_6(Ph_4C_4)]$  [20].<br>The three terminal carbonyl groups of the Mn-

(CO), have similar Mn-C bond lengths and are equivalent on the NMR time scale at temperatures down to  $-60$  °C. The three carbonyls of the Co(CO)<sub>3</sub>

moiety, however, have different Co-C bond distances and exhibit two  $^{13}$ C NMR signals at room temperature. The two carbonyls which are *fruns*  to the  $Co-C$   $\sigma$ -bonds of the cobaltacyclopentadienyl ring have the longer Co-C bonds. The more closely bound carbonyl is essentially at right angles to the plane of the metallocyclic ring. Similar effects have been noted in analogous ferrole compounds [14, 211.

The C-C bond lengths of the cyclopentadienyl ring of compound I are nearly identical, as is found in several ferrole structures [14] . Thorn and Hoffmann [22] have attributed this 'bond levelling' effect to back donation of electron density from the  $\pi$ -bonded metal to the LUMO  $\pi$ <sup>\*</sup> orbital of the diene fragment.

The 'inner' carbon atoms,  $C(7)$  and  $C(10)$ , have slightly shorter bond distances to Mn than the 'outer' carbons,  $C(8)$  and  $C(9)$ . A similar pattern is observed in ferrole structures [ 10,221 .

In the solid state, ferrole complexes adopt a 'sawhorse' (carbonyls eclipsed) or a 'non-sawhorse' (carbonyls staggered) geometry, the latter being found in most cases [22]. The two structures are interconverted by a  $60^\circ$  rotation of the  $\pi$ -bonded  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>3</sub> fragment. In the non-sawhorse geometry (the lower in energy by  $\approx 0.2$  eV [22]), one of the carbonyls of the  $\pi$ -Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> group occupies a semibridging position with respect to the iron atom of the  $FeC<sub>4</sub>$  ring, apparently in order to relieve coordinative unsaturation at that centre. In  $[Comn(CO)<sub>6</sub>$ - $(Ph_2C_4Me_2)$ , the Co centre is coordinatively saturated, thus precluding the non-sawhorse configuration. The actual structure is in fact intermediate between the sawhorse and non-sawhorse geometries; two dihedral angles (20° and 26°) between Mn-Cocarbonyl and Co-Mn-carbonyl planes are observed, due to asymmetry in the disposition of the carbonyl ligands on cobalt.

## **Acknowledgement**

The financial support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada is acknowledged.

## **References**

- 1 P. J. Manning and L. K. Peterson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, *88, L5 (.984).*
- A. A. Hock and 0. S. Mills, *Acta Crystallogr., 14, 139 (1961).*  W. P. Fehlhammer and H. Stolzenberg, in G. Wilkinson,
- *4*  R. P. Dodge, 0. S. Mills and V. Schomaker, Proc. *Chem.*  F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (eds.), 'Comprehensive F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (eds.), 'Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, Vol. 4', Pergamon, Oxford, 1982, p. 5 13.
- *5*  P. .J. Harris, J. A. K. Howard, S. A. R. Knox, R. P. *Sot., 380 (1963).*
- Phillips, F. G. A. Stone and P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., *Dalton Trans., 311 (1976).*
- *6*  M. A. Bennett, R. N. Johnson and T. W. Tuaney, *Inorg. I*  S. A. Gardner, P. S. Andrews and M. D. Rausch, Znorg. Chem., 15, 107 (1976).
- *Chem., 12, 2396 (1973).*
- *8*  M. Angoletta, P. L. Bellon and F. Demartin, J. *Organo-9*  M. J. Mays, D. W. Prest and P. R. Raithby, J. C'hem. Sot., *met. Chem., 267, 199 (1984).*
- 10 *E.* F. Epstein and L. F. Dahl, J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 92, Dalton Trans., 771 (1981).*
- 11 J. L. Davidson, L. Manojlovic-Muir, K. W. Muir and A. N. *502 (1970).*
- Keith,J. Chem. Sot., Chem. *Commun., 749 (1980).*
- 12 V. Busetti, G. Granozzi, S. Aime, R. Gobetto and D. 13 T. Chivers and P. L. Timms, *Can. J. Chem., 55,* 3509 v. Busetti, G. Granozzi, S. Aime, R. Gobetto and D. Osella, *Organometallics, 3,* 15 10 (1984).
- $(1277)$ ,  $(1777)$ ,  $(1877)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ ,  $(1977)$ , (1977).
- 15 *S.* Aime, L. Milone and.E. Sappa, J. *Chem. Sot., Dalton*  and K. Felting, J. *Organomet. Chem., 112, 167 (1976).*
- *Trans., 839 (1976).*
- 16 'International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. 17 (a) A. C. Larson and E. J. Gabe, in H. Schenk, R. Oltoh- $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  is a set of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{$ IV', Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1975, Tables 2.28 and 2.31.
- LIERRY DIVISION, N.N.C., Ottawa, 1909.<br>2. J. E. H. Lasse, Steatenberg and Chemistry', Harper and Row. Hazenkamp, H. Van Koningsveld and G. C. Bassi (eds.), 'Computing in Crystallography', Delft University Press,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 918, p. 81; (b)  $\frac{1}{2}$  C. Larson, F. L. Lee,  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$   $E$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$ ,  $\mathbf{y$ E. J. Gabe, 'The N.R.C. VAX Crystal Structure System', Chemistry Division, N.R.C., Ottawa, 1983.
- 19 London, 1974, P. 104.<br>19 J.-M. Ciriak, J.-S. Huang, Z.-H. Zhu and L.-E. Dale, J. London, 1972, p. 184.
- 20 P. E. Rilev and R. E. Davis. *Acta Crvstalloar., Sect. B:.*  Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 6623 (1980).
- 21 R. Colton and M. J. McCormick, Coord. *Chem. Rev., 31,*  2028. E. Riley and R. E. Davis, *Acta Crystallogr*.
- 22 D. L. Thorn and R. Hoffmann, *Inorg.* Chem., 17, 126 l(l980).
- $(1978)$